## For the Northern District of California

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	
8	
9 10	FREDERICK JACKSON, ASHLEY NICOLE JACKSON, and BRIANA FREDRANIQUE ANNETTE JACKSON,
11	Plaintiffs, No. C 09-01016 WHA
12	v.
13 14	GERALD VINCENT LOMBARDI, individually and as an officer of the City of Pittsburg Police Department (Badge # 275), CORY LEE SMITH,  ORDER DENYING
15	individually and as an officer of the City of Pittsburg Police Department (Badge # 285), SANKARA REDDY DUMPA, individually and as  OKDEK DENTING  DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT
16 17	an officer of the City of Pittsburg Police Department (Badge # 291), WILLIAM BLAKE HATCHER, individually and as an officer of the
18	City of Pittsburg Police Department (Badge # 274),
19	Defendants/
20	Defendants have filed a motion styled as a motion to alter or amend judgment under
21	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59(e). This motion is <b>DENIED</b> . It is basically a repeat of the
22	earlier renewed motion for judgment as a matter of law. Again, any problem with the special
23	verdict form should have been raised by counsel prior to the jury verdict, not afterward. The
24	hearing noticed for December 9, 2010, is <b>VACATED</b> .
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.
26	11 10 00 OKDEKED.
<ul><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul>	Dated: October 26, 2010.  WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE